

**From:** Anastasia Mann <anastasia@corniche.com>  
**Sent time:** 04/30/2020 05:49:15 PM  
**To:** mindy.nguyen@lacity.org  
David Ryu <councilmember.ryu@lacity.org>; Nicholas Greif <nicholas.greif@lacity.org>; Mitch O'Farrell <councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org>; Daniel Halden <daniel.halden@lacity.org>; Sean Starkey <sean.starkey@lacity.org>; Madelynn Taras <madelynn.taras@lacity.org>; Emma  
**Cc:** Howard <emma.howard@lacity.org>; Estevan Montemayor <estevan.montemayor@lacity.org>; Renee Weitzer <reneweitzer@gmail.com>; Nikki <nikki.ezhari@lacity.org>; Angie Aramayo <angie.aramayo@lacity.org>; George Skarpelos <George@myhunc.com>; Robert Silverstein <robert@robertsilversteinlaw.com>; Jack Humphreville <jackh@targetmediapartners.com>; Tim Deegan <timdeegan2015@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** 4-29-20 [SCAN] Response to City Planning (Nguyen) re Denial of Request for Extending Comment Period.PDF  
**Attachments:** 4-29-20 [SCAN] Response to City Planning (Nguyen) re Denial of Request for Extending Comment Period.PDF ATT00001.htm

---

Dear Mindy,

I am president of HHWNC and a lifelong resident of Hollywood. HHWNC is the largest and one of the first NCs formed within the City of Los Angeles. Due to current circumstances, I am now writing to you as an individual.

Our Eastern border is the Western border of HUNC and we are a mere few blocks away from the Vine Street project now designated as Hollywood Center, formerly the Millennium project.

As a reminder, due to COVID-19, our NC and others have not been able to meet to discuss what's shamefully happening now to expedite this "re-classified" project through the system without the opportunity for impacted communities to discuss in open public meetings. Our last Board meeting was February 19th.

We have @ 220,000 stakeholders within HHWNC's borders many of whom - if not all - will feel the impact, let alone the inevitable fear associated with this project, both during its construction and ultimate sheer existence.

There have been countless amounts of proof from credible sources including the State of California on the dangers and threats to the safety of residents, workers, commuters, etc. inherent with this development that will continue forever including the potential loss of life and property from "the Big One" which is now considered EMINENT, not just probable, due to its incredible proximity to our serious earthquake faults.

CalTrans has shared objections based in its proximity to the 101 freeway, safety, air quality plus other issues.

And more.

How can the City Planners and Council Members ignore these warnings while denying our stakeholders their local forum?

You have referenced the online accessibility of the DEIR, etc. Do you seriously believe that every day during the last three + months Angelenos have been glued to their computers in order to search for and study this EIR?

We are in the midst of an international pandemic. People are engulfed in saving their businesses, their jobs, their homes, their families, their children's educations, their health, etc. These are scary times.

A project of this size and long-term controversy should not be smuggled through the process...particularly at a time when the citizens of LA are reading daily of bribery and graft going on at City Hall and within our City Council. There is little trust factor currently re our "Planning" process and implied shenanigans re development deals happening within City Hall - particularly as this is a High End project. This project is not fixing or assisting low income apartments - and let's not use the term "affordable" as we know that's a devious misnomer. Affordable compared to what? We have been called "NIMBY's" because we have been a forum for those citizens - our stakeholders - who've been victimized by evictions and the consequences of new "projects" with rents out of reach for average Angelenos. Projects that were promised as "affordable" that were converted to Hotels. And on and on.

At the very least allow publicly noticed NC meetings that will be given respect and taken seriously - not by ZOOM - but once this pandemic has passed the critical point so people can come together to be properly informed. Show this City can be fair.

Show compassion for the people of the Hollywood community who will be the most impacted by this project. And please - ask the FBI - while they are in town - to look into the deep layers of whose money is all behind this. There are unanswered questions

within layers of corporations.

Hopefully you will take these comments to heart. Thank you for your valuable time.

Sincerely,

Anastasia Mann  
310-753-9777 cellular

PS: I am Writing as an individual with a reminder that HHWNC came out forcibly against this project in the past, but has been unable to meet since February-all our previous CIS's are on file.

# THE SILVERSTEIN LAW FIRM

*A Professional Corporation*

215 NORTH MARENGO AVENUE, 3RD FLOOR  
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91101-1504

PHONE: (626) 449-4200 FAX: (626) 449-4205

ROBERT@ROBERTSILVERSTEINLAW.COM  
WWW.ROBERTSILVERSTEINLAW.COM

April 29, 2020

**VIA EMAIL [mindy.nguyen@lacity.org](mailto:mindy.nguyen@lacity.org)**  
**AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY**

Mindy Nguyen  
City of Los Angeles, Department of City Planning  
221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1350  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Objection to Denial of Request for Extension of 45-Day Comment Period  
for Hollywood Center Project Draft Environmental Impact Report  
("DEIR"); Case Number ENV-2018-2116-EIR;  
State Clearinghouse Number 2018051002

Dear Ms. Nguyen and City Officials:

This letter and all complete documents and materials contained in the links set forth within this letter are to be included in the administrative record for the above-referenced matter.

The City's April 28, 2020 response to our and others' request for an extension of the Hollywood Center Project DEIR comment period is repugnant, verging on mocking the public. To acknowledge as your April 28, 2020 email does that "these are unprecedented times," and yet for the Planning Dept. to treat them for public comment purposes as regular times, defies logic. Its import also ignores how everyone's lives have been upended as people are busy trying to stay safe, to home school children, to care for sick relatives, to manage entirely new and disruptive routines, etc. This no less includes the staff of governmental agencies expected to comment on this DEIR, and which should have the fullest time possible to comment as part of their duties to ensure the public health, safety and welfare.

It is also to deny Mayor Garcetti's repeated emotional pleas, and even threats, to the community about obedience to his "Stay-at-Home" orders. The Planning Dept. buck of unreasonable denial of a tolling or extension of the public comment period for this

massive project and EIR stops with Garcetti. We incorporate by reference the articles contained at these links quoting Mayor Garcetti in this regard:

“In a remarkable State of the City address, one that comes five weeks into the shutdown of many businesses, government buildings and other facilities, Garcetti declared that the city is ‘under attack’ from the coronavirus and the economic fallout that has come with it.

‘I’ve never before hesitated to assure you that our city is strong,’ he said. ‘But I won’t say those words tonight. Our city is under attack. Our daily life is unrecognizable.’”<sup>1</sup>

“Los Angeles Mayor Eric Garcetti unveiled a \$10.5-billion budget for the city Monday that imposes cuts across an array of city agencies, with nearly 16,000 city workers being furloughed in response to the economic fallout from the coronavirus outbreak.”<sup>2</sup>

“‘This weekend we saw too many images of too many people crowding beaches or canyons beyond their capacity. Too many people, too close together, too often,’ Garcetti said during his daily briefing on the impact of the novel coronavirus. ‘The longer we do that, the more people will get sick, and the more people will die. There’s no way to sugarcoat that.’

‘Asked by a reporter whether the city would hold residents accountable for breaking quarantine orders, Garcetti said that law enforcement officers will ‘not be shy’ when it comes to approaching those who are seen doing so.’”<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-04-19/garcetti-state-of-city-la-address-coronavirus>

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-04-20/coronavirus-garcetti-budget-story-2020-2021-furloughs-cuts>

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-03-23/coronavirus-mayor-eric-garcetti-ignoring-social-distancing-beaches>

“I know your heart breaks.... This is such a great tradition for the many families we have,’ L.A. Mayor Eric Garcetti said. ‘But we can’t afford to have one cluster of even just a few people together spread this disease to more people and kill them.’<sup>4</sup>

“‘Unfortunately among the fallen ‘is a city employee, Garcetti said Friday. ‘It wasn’t just lip service that these are going to be tough days.’”<sup>5</sup>

“If a business refuses to provide face coverings for its workers, it could be fined, but the hope is that businesses and customers will follow the order without issue, Garcetti said.”<sup>6</sup>

“At least five nights a week, Garcetti has appealed directly to Angelenos on live television — or Facebook, for those with smaller screens — to get them to comply with public health orders and keep up with the region’s rapidly changing response to the spread of the novel coronavirus.”<sup>7</sup>

“Garcetti said that his office is still receiving daily reports of nonessential businesses that continue to operate as normal — behavior he called ‘irresponsible and selfish.’”

“He also announced a Safer at Home business ambassadors initiative that aims to help push greater adherence from nonessential businesses who aren’t complying with the city’s order to close.

---

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-04-10/california-toughens-stay-at-home-rules-as-coronavirus-cases-top-20-000>

<sup>5</sup> <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-04-11/2-1-a-city-workers-die-of-coronavirus>

<sup>6</sup> <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-04-07/coronavirus-face-covering-order-los-angeles-mayor-garcetti>

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-04-13/garcetti-coronavirus-briefings-distancing-masks-love>

Such businesses should also expect to get a warning call from local prosecutors before the city takes more aggressive action, including turning off their water and power, he said.

‘The easiest way to avoid a visit from the city is to follow the rules,’ he said.’<sup>8</sup>

“Los Angeles Mayor Eric Garcetti said Wednesday that he’s authorized the Department of Water and Power to shut off service to nonessential businesses that continue to operate despite the strict Safer at Home restrictions designed to slow the spread of the coronavirus.”<sup>9</sup>

Your April 28, 2020 email also implies or assumes that everyone who wants to review the EIR should be able, on their own, to have an internet connection and sufficient bandwidth to download this very hefty DEIR. There is a serious Environmental Justice issue in the City’s unreasonable conduct during this time.

We and our clients are indeed prejudiced, including by my difficulty in accessing all of our hard files, including from the previous StopTheMillenniumHollywood.com vs. City of Los Angeles, et al. (LASC Case No. BS144606; Court of Appeal Case No. B282319; CA Supreme Court Case No. S258643) litigation. Large parts of the administrative record from that original case must be included in the current administrative record because of its relevance to the instant application and DEIR. However, we cannot more discreetly select those documents because of lack of access to our physical offices.

As a result, we incorporate by reference the entire administrative record from the original Millennium case. Although the City Attorneys’ Office and, presumably, the City Planning Department have the entire Administrative Record and Reference Library from the original Millennium case, which we ask to be incorporated by reference, nonetheless, in an abundance of caution, we are also sending you by overnight delivery a flash drive containing the full bates-stamped administrative record and full bates-stamped reference

---

<sup>8</sup> <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-03-24/garcetti-warns-la-coronavirus-crisis-will-get-worse>

<sup>9</sup> <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-04-01/l-a-will-shut-off-water-for-non-essential-businesses-operating-amid-coronavirus-restrictions>

library from the original Millennium case administrative record. The entirety of those files must be uploaded and included in the present administrative record and on the City Planning Department's running web page of the ongoing additions to the present administrative record for the Hollywood Center Project DEIR. We would suggest those materials be noted in the description as follows:

- AR from StopTheMillenniumHollywood.com v. City of LA (LASC Case No. BS144606 ("Original Millennium Case")) 1 of 6
- AR from StopTheMillenniumHollywood.com v. City of LA (LASC Case No. BS144606 ("Original Millennium Case")) 2 of 6
- AR from StopTheMillenniumHollywood.com v. City of LA (LASC Case No. BS144606 ("Original Millennium Case")) 3 of 6
- AR from StopTheMillenniumHollywood.com v. City of LA (LASC Case No. BS144606 ("Original Millennium Case")) 4 of 6
- AR from StopTheMillenniumHollywood.com v. City of LA (LASC Case No. BS144606 ("Original Millennium Case")) 5 of 6
- AR from StopTheMillenniumHollywood.com v. City of LA (LASC Case No. BS144606 ("Original Millennium Case")) 6 of 6
- RL from StopTheMillenniumHollywood.com v. City of LA (LASC Case No. BS144606 ("Original Millennium Case")) 1 of 2
- RL from StopTheMillenniumHollywood.com v. City of LA (LASC Case No. BS144606 ("Original Millennium Case")) 2 of 2

You are required to include all of these documents from the original administrative record in the current administrative record for this Hollywood Center application and Draft EIR, including pursuant to Consolidated Irrigation District:

"We conclude that the term "submitted to" – which generally means presented or made available for use or study – is concerned with the effort that must be expended by the lead agency in using or studying the "written evidence" presented. (§ 21167.6, subd. (e)(7).) Consequently, we think that the term should be interpreted and

applied pragmatically to fairly allocate the burden of handling the written evidence. Applying the term too broadly could place an unacceptable burden on lead agency personnel by requiring them to expend time and limited resources tracking down information that could have been provided more efficiently by the commenter. Based on considerations regarding the allocation of burden, we conclude that “written evidence” has been “submitted to” a lead agency for purposes of section 21167.6, subdivision (e)(7) when the commenter has made the document readily available for use or study by lead agency personnel.” Consolidated Irrigation Dist. v. Superior Court (2012) 205 Cal.App.4th 697, 723.

“The third category contains five documents named in the comment letters of CID and the air pollution control district along with a citation to the specific Web page containing the document. We conclude that the information provided made these documents readily available to City personnel. To access the document, the person need only type the URL into a computer connected to the Internet. The document will appear on the computer screen and no further searching is required. Thus, the burden placed on lead agency personnel is minimal when a commenter provides the URL to the specific Web page containing the document.” Consolidated Irrigation Dist. v. Superior Court (2012) 205 Cal.App.4th 697, 724-725.

The burden placed on the City is minimal, actually non-existent. We have provided a flash drive with all of the bates-stamped files comprising the prior administrative record and reference library that the City itself certified. If you have any difficulty accessing or in utilizing the City’s own set of the same materials, please promptly advise.

//

//

//

//



City of Los Angeles, Department of City Planning  
April 29, 2020  
Page 7

Finally, please understand that this by no means remedies or sufficiently mitigates the prejudice to us, and others, from the truncated 45-day comment period during the pandemic. Our request (and that of numerous other community members) for a tolling or extension of that deadline remains active. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

*/s/ Robert P. Silverstein*

ROBERT P. SILVERSTEIN

FOR

THE SILVERSTEIN LAW FIRM, APC

RPS:vl

Encl.: Flash drive containing Millennium AR and RL

cc: Mayor Eric Garcetti ([mayor.garcetti@lacity.org](mailto:mayor.garcetti@lacity.org))  
Councilman Mitch O'Farrell ([councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org](mailto:councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org))  
Councilman David Ryu ([david.ryu@lacity.org](mailto:david.ryu@lacity.org))  
Vince Bertoni, Dir. Of City Planning ([vince.bertoni@lacity.org](mailto:vince.bertoni@lacity.org))  
Kevin Keller, Exec. Officer of City Planning ([kevin.keller@lacity.org](mailto:kevin.keller@lacity.org))

[[#]]

name: Anastasia Mann

title: Chairman / CEO

email: [anastasia@corniche.com](mailto:anastasia@corniche.com)

direct:

[[#]]